Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,750	YAMADA, YASUHISA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JUSTIN KRAUSE	3656	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) <u>JUSTIN KRAUSE</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Nelson Shapiro</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>16 March 2009</u>	Time: <u>2:50 pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: US Application 10/527,804			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/Justin Krause/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a <sub>l</sub>	opropriate)

Application No. 10/529,750

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendment was made to clarify the language of claim 1, see attached examiner's amendment. Discussion of double patenting with regard to application 10/527,804 took place with the conclusion that there was no double patenting for the reasons stated in the Reasons for Allowance.